arXiv:1510.08585v1 [gr-qc] 29 Oct 2015

The impact of the orbital decay of the LAGEOS satellites on the frame-dragging tests

Lorenzo Iorio [1]

Ministero dell'Istruzione, dell'Università e della Ricerca (M.I.U.R.)-Istruzione
Fellow of the Royal Astronomical Society (F.R.A.S.)

Abstract

The laser-tracked geodetic satellites LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and LARES are currently employed, among other things, to measure the general relativistic Lense-Thirring effect in the gravitomagnetic field of the spinning Earth with the hope of providing a more accurate test of such a prediction of the Einstein's theory of gravitation than the existing ones. The secular decay $\dot{a}$ of the semimajor axes a of such spacecrafts, recently measured in an independent way to a $\sigma_{\dot{a}} \approx 0.1 - 0.01$ m yr^{-1} accuracy level, may indirectly impact the proposed relativistic experiment through its connection with the classical orbital precessions induced by the Earth's oblateness J_2. Indeed, the systematic bias due to the current measurement errors $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ is of the same order of magnitude of, or even larger than, the expected relativistic signal itself; moreover, it grows linearly with the time span T of the analysis. Therefore, the parameter-fitting algorithms must be properly updated in order to suitably cope with such a new source of systematic uncertainty. Otherwise, an improvement of one-two orders of magnitude in measuring the orbital decay of the satellites of the LAGEOS family would be required to reduce this source of systematic uncertainty to a percent fraction of the Lense-Thirring signature.

Key words: Experimental studies of gravity, Experimental tests of gravitational theories, Satellite orbits, Harmonics of the gravity potential field

Email address: lorenzo.iorio@libero.it (Lorenzo Iorio).
URL:
http://digilander.libero.it/lorri/homepage_of_lorenzo_iorio.htm
(Lorenzo Iorio).
[1] Address for correspondence: Viale Unità di Italia 68, 70125, Bari (BA), Italy

1 Introduction

The so-called general relativistic Lense-Thirring effect (Lense and Thirring, 1918) consists of small secular orbital precessions affecting the motion of a test particle in geodesic motion about a central rotating body. They are driven by the gravitomagnetic [2] component of the gravitational field of the spining mass due its proper angular momentum. As far as the Earth is concerned, the Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR) technique has been used so far in conjunction with the geodetic satellites LAGEOS and LAGEOS II to attempt to measure such a manifestation of frame-dragging [3] (Ciufolini and Pavlis, 2004; Ciufolini et al., 2010). A third member of this class of passive, spherical laser targets, named LARES, was launched a few years ago (Paolozzi and Ciufolini, 2013), and it will be used to try to refine the existing tests in view of its improved manufacturing and design which will reduce the direct impact of the non-gravitational perturbations; according to its proponents, it should be possible to reach a $\approx 1\%$ total accuracy (Ciufolini et al., 2013). For recent overviews of the performed and ongoing attempts to detect the Lense-Thirring effect with artificial Earth's satellites and related discussions on the realistic accuracy level reached, see, e.g., Iorio et al. (2011), Ciufolini et al. (2012), Renzetti (2013); Iorio et al. (2013); Ciufolini et al. (2013); Renzetti (2015) and references therein.

In this paper, we want to address a further source of potential systematic uncertainty in the total error budget of the ongoing relativity experiment involving the three satellites of the LAGEOS family. Basically, the Newtonian precession of the orbital plane induced by the asphericity of the Earth (Xu, 2008) is nominally several orders of magnitude larger than the general relativistic gravitomagnetic one. Such a classical feature, which acts as a major systematic error, depends, among other factors, on some of the satellite's orbital parameters; thus, long-term variations in them indirectly affect also the orbital precession itself inducing a further bias which has to be properly assessed and subtracted from the signal from which the Lense-Thirring effect should be extracted. In particular, it turns out that the size of the orbits of the satellites considered is steadily diminishing over the years (Sośnica et al., 2014; Sośnica, 2014). Although the gravitomagnetic field does not directly affect such an orbital feature, the resulting additional shift in the orbital plane may alias the corresponding Lense-Thirring precession by potentially corrupting the overall accuracy level in this frame-dragging test in absence of

[2] Such a denomination comes from the purely formal resemblance of the linearized Einstein field equations in the weak-field and slow-motion approximation with the Maxwell equations of electromagnetism.

[3] The GP-B mission (Everitt et al., 2011) measured another gravitomagnetic effect in the field of the Earth.

appropriate countermeasures in the data reduction procedure.

The relevant orbital parameters of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II, LARES are summarized in Table 1, in which the following values of the key fundamental constants and geophysical parameters of the Earth were adopted: $G = 66,451.1$ kg^{-1} m^3 yr^{-2}, $c = 9.46073 \times 10^{15}$ m yr^{-1}, $GM = 3.96959 \times 10^{29}$ m^3 yr^{-2}, $S = 1.84928 \times 10^{41}$ kg m^2 yr^{-2}, $J_2 = 0.00108262$, $R = 6{,}378{,}136.6$ m.

Table 1
Relevant orbital parameters of the satellites of the LAGEOS family: a is the semimajor axis, e is the eccentricity, I is the inclination to the Earth's equator, n is the Keplerian mean motion.

	LAGEOS	LAGEOS II	LARES
a (km)	12,274	12,158	7,820
e	0.0039	0.0137	0.0007
I (deg)	109.90	52.67	69.50
n (yr^{-1})	1.465×10^4	1.486×10^4	2.881×10^4

2 Evaluating the bias due to the satellite's orbital decay

2.1 The classical and relativistic orbital precessions

In the following, a coordinate system whose z axis is aligned with the Earth's spin axis will be assumed.

For a given value of its inclination I to the Earth's equator, the location of the satellite's orbital plane in the inertial space is determined by the longitude of the ascending node Ω: it is in angle in the equatorial plane reckoned from a reference x direction to the line of the nodes which, in turn, is determined by the intersection of the orbital plane with the equatorial plane itself. If the primary was pointlike or perfectly spherical, the orbital plane would remain fixed in space, so that Ω would keep a constant value. Actually, every astronomical body such as our planet does rotate, so that its shape is distorted by the centrifugal effects which make its gravitational field to depart from spherical symmetry. To the Newtonian level, the gravitational potential is usually expanded in multipolar coefficients; among them, the even zonal ones J_ℓ, $\ell = 2, 4, 6, \ldots$ are of particular importance since they induce orbital perturbations which do not vanish when averaged out over one orbital revolution. In particular, the satellite's node rate induced by the first even zonal

J_2 of the central body is (Xu, 2008)

$$\dot{\Omega}_{J_2} = -\frac{3}{2} n \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^2 \frac{\cos I J_2}{(1-e^2)^2}, \tag{1}$$

where the Keplerian mean motion is

$$n = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{a^3}}. \tag{2}$$

In eq. (1)-eq. (2), G is the Newtonian constant of gravitation, and M, R, J_2 are the mass, the equatorial radius and the dimensionless first even zonal harmonic of the primary, respectively; a and e are the satellite's semimajor axis and eccentricity determining its orbital size and shape, respectively.

The Lense-Thirring node rate is (Lense and Thirring, 1918)

$$\dot{\Omega}_{\rm LT} = \frac{2GS}{c^2 a^3 (1-e^2)^{3/2}}, \tag{3}$$

where c is the speed of light in vacuum and S is the proper angular momentum of the primary.

For the LAGEOS-type satellites, the Newtonian disturbing precessions due to J_2 and the other even zonals of higher degree are nominally several orders of magnitude larger than the relativistic ones; thus, although the geopotential is modelled in the softwares routinely used to analyze satellites' data, their mismodelled components due to the current uncertainties $\delta J_\ell,\ \ell = 2, 4, 6, \ldots$ are still too large with respect to the Lense-Thirring precession of eq. (3). As a consequence, several strategies have been devised so far to circumvent such a potentially fatal drawback; for details, see, e.g., Iorio et al. (2011); Renzetti (2013); Ciufolini et al. (2013) and references therein.

As we will show in the next Section, the present-day level of uncertainty in our knowledge of the even zonals is not the only source of systematic bias connected with the classical node precession of eq. (1).

2.2 When the semimajor axis does secularly vary

By allowing for a secular variation of the semimajor axis a

$$a(t) = a_0 + \dot{a}t, \tag{4}$$

where a_0 is its value at some chosen reference epoch, the integrated shift of the node over a time span T much longer [4] than the orbital period is, from eq. (1),

$$\Delta\Omega_{J_2} = \int_0^T \dot{\Omega}_{J_2}(t)dt = \frac{3n_0R^2\cos I J_2}{5a_0\left(1-e^2\right)^2\dot{a}}\left[-1+(1+\lambda)^{-5/2}\right]. \tag{5}$$

In eq. (5), we defined the dimensionless quantity

$$\lambda \doteq \frac{\dot{a}T}{a_0}; \tag{6}$$

moreover,

$$n_0 \doteq \sqrt{\frac{GM}{a_0^3}}. \tag{7}$$

In the case of the LAGEOS-type satellites, it is

$$|\lambda| \ll 1 \tag{8}$$

over time intervals some years long since the measured semimajor axis' rates $\dot{a}$ are less than a meter per year (Sośnica et al., 2014; Sośnica, 2014), while the nominal values of their semimajor axes are as large as thousands of kilometers, as shown by Table 1. Thus, in view of the fact that

$$-1+(1+\lambda)^{-5/2} \approx -\frac{5}{2}\lambda+\frac{35}{8}\lambda^2+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^3\right), \tag{9}$$

eq. (5) can be approximately written as

$$\Delta\Omega_{J_2} \approx -\frac{3}{2}n_0\left(\frac{R}{a_0}\right)^2\frac{\cos I J_2}{\left(1-e^2\right)^2}T+\frac{21n_0R^2\cos I J_2\dot{a}}{8a_0^3\left(1-e^2\right)^2}T^2+\mathcal{O}\left(\dot{a}^2T^3\right). \tag{10}$$

As far as the Lense-Thirring effect is concerned, by inserting eq. (4) into eq. (3), the gravitomagnetic node shift over the time interval T turns out to be

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm LT} = \int_0^T \dot{\Omega}_{\rm LT}(t)dt = \frac{2GS\left(1+\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{c^2a_0^3\left(1-e^2\right)^{3/2}\left(1+\lambda\right)^2}T. \tag{11}$$

Actually, eq. (11) can be approximated as

$$\Delta\Omega_{\rm LT} \approx \frac{2GS}{c^2a_0^3\left(1-e^2\right)^{3/2}}T+\mathcal{O}\left(\dot{a}T^2\right) \tag{12}$$

since

$$\frac{1+\frac{\lambda}{2}}{\left(1+\lambda\right)^2} \approx 1-\frac{3}{2}\lambda+\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^2\right). \tag{13}$$

[4] The precession of eq. (1) is averaged over a satellite's full orbital revolution.

In order to correctly evaluate the impact of our unavoidably imperfect knowledge of the secular rate of the satellite's semimajor axis on the Lense-Thirring shift of eq. (12), let us calculate

$$\delta\left(\Delta\Omega_{J_2}\right) \leq \left|\frac{\partial\Delta\Omega_{J_2}}{\partial\dot{a}}\right|\delta\dot{a}, \tag{14}$$

where $\delta\dot{a}$ may represent either the uncertainty in adequately modeling the rate of change of a due to some proposed physical phenomenon or, if available, the experimental error in phenomenologically determining it from actual data reductions; in the latter case, for the sake of clarity, we will use the symbol $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$.

From eq. (10), it turns out that, within the approximations used, the uncertainty in the J_2-induced node shift is quadratic in the time interval, amounting to

$$\delta\left(\Delta\Omega_{J_2}\right) \lesssim \frac{21n_0R^2\left|\cos I\right|J_2}{8a_0^3\left(1-e^2\right)^2}T^2\delta\dot{a}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, from eq. (15) and eq. (12) it is possible to calculate the following dimensionless parameter

$$\xi_\Omega \doteq \frac{\delta\left(\Delta\Omega_{J_2}\right)}{\Delta\Omega_{\rm LT}} \lesssim \frac{21c^2n_0R^2\left|\cos I\right|J_2}{16GS\left(1-e^2\right)^{1/2}}T\delta\dot{a}; \tag{16}$$

it should be noticed that our measure of the impact of the semimajor axis secular rate on the Lense-Thirring test grows linearly with time.

An important feature of the following analysis consists of the fact that we will rely upon the phenomenologically determined values of the secular decays of the semimajor axes of the satellites of the LAGEOS family from real data reductions of long observational records (Sośnica et al., 2014; Sośnica, 2014) which, in the case of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II, amount to decades. In other words, we will not attempt to invoke some known or exotic physical phenomenon able to produce such an observed orbital feature in order to model it along with the associated mismodeling. Several effects, mainly rooted in standard non-gravitational physics, have been proposed and discussed so far; see, e.g., Rubincam (1982, 1987, 1988); Scharroo et al. (1991); Lucchesi et al. (2015) and references therein. In this respect, the uncertainties $\delta\dot{a}$ will be the errors $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ released by independent analysts, neither involved with the LARES team nor with ourselves, who processed the SLR observations for scopes unconnected with testing frame-dragging (Sośnica et al., 2014; Sośnica, 2014). Table 2 summarizes our findings for LAGEOS, LAGEOS II, LARES. It can be noticed that the systematic bias on the uncombined nodes of each satellite is at the $\approx 100\%$ level of the relativistic effect over the temporal interval adopted.

Table 2
The figures quoted for the decay $\dot{a} \pm \sigma_{\dot{a}}$ of the semimajor axis were phenomenologically determined in actual analyses of SLR observations (Sośnica et al., 2014; Sośnica, 2014): as such, they do not come from any a priori physical modeling. The values for ξ_Ω were obtained from eq. (16) with the satellites' parameters of Table 1 for $T = 17$ yr; thus, the value for LARES has to be considered as merely indicative since the quoted $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ was determined by analyzing just a yearly data record.

	LAGEOS	LAGEOS II	LARES
$\dot{a}$ (m yr^{-1})	-0.203	-0.239	-0.775
$\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ (m yr^{-1})	0.035	0.037	0.14
ξ_Ω (%)	125	238	1011

As far as LARES is concerned, it must be stressed that the larger uncertainty $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ with respect to LAGEOS and LAGEOS II might be due, at least to some extent, to the comparatively shorter data record analyzed so far. Anyway, even by arguing that it could be finally brought down to the accuracy level of its long-lived cousins over multidecadal time spans, its bias on the predicted gravitomagnetic node shift will remain significantly higher than a few percent. Moreover, great care is needed in correctly evaluating possible future improvements in the determination of $\dot{a}$ to avoid unphysical conclusions. Indeed, the released errors $\sigma_{\dot{a}}$ do not necessarily reflect realistic accuracy in our knowledge of the orbital rates of decay, being, instead, just a sort of measure of the internal precision of the data reduction procedure implemented. Otherwise, one would be drawn to paradoxical conclusions such as that the satellite's orbit radius could be finally known with a better accuracy than, say, the Earth's radius itself, which is currently known at 0.1 m level (Petit et al., 2010). Indeed a can be naively thought as the length of a vector pointing from the Earth's center of mass to the spacecraft which, in turn, is (approximatively) the sum of a vector from the Earth's center of mass to a SLR station and of a vector from the latter to the spacecraft: it would be absurd to assume that, over any time interval, the length of the sum of such vectors could be known more accurately than any one of the vectors themselves to be summed. Finally, it is worthwhile noticing that, after more than 30 years, it does not seem that a breakthrough in the accuracy of the determination of the orbital decay rate of LAGEOS has occurred so far. Indeed, if, on the one hand, Rubincam (1982) quotes a value accurate to $\sigma_{\dot{a}} = 0.1$ mm d^{-1} $= 0.036$ m yr^{-1}, on the other hand, Sośnica et al. (2014); Sośnica (2014) still report $\sigma_{\dot{a}} = 0.035$ m yr^{-1} after 30 years or so (see Table 2).

However, the actual attempts to measure the Lense-Thirring effect will be implemented by linearly combining the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II, and LARES by means of suitable coefficients purposely designed to remove, in principle, the mismodeling in the first two even zonals of the geopotential.

More precisely, the following time series will be analyzed

$$f^{\rm 3L} = \Delta\Omega^{\rm LAGEOS} + c_1\Delta\Omega^{\rm LAGEOS\ II} + c_2\Delta\Omega^{\rm LARES}, \tag{17}$$

with

$$c_1 = 0.3586, \tag{18}$$

$$c_2 = 0.0751. \tag{19}$$

Thus, we must apply our strategy to eq. (17) by assessing the impact of the observed decays of the LAGEOSs' semimajor axes on the combination of the Lense-Thirring node shifts, i.e., we have to study

$$\psi \doteq \frac{\delta\left(\Delta f^{\rm 3L}_{J_2}\right)}{\Delta f^{\rm 3L}_{\rm LT}}. \tag{20}$$

In Figure 1, we plot eq. (20) as a function of the time span for different constant values of $\sigma_{\dot{a}_{\rm LR}}$ in order to consider possible amelioration of the accuracy of the determination of the LARES semimajor axis decay as more data will be collected and processed. It turns out that, even by allowing for a linearly improving accuracy in the orbital decay of LARES over the years in such a way that it gets 10 times better after 10 years, no noticeable modifications in the pattern depicted occurs. It turns out that, even on relatively short temporal intervals and by assuming a potential improvement of one order of magnitude in measuring the orbital decay of LARES, the bias due to $\dot{a}$ is quite significant.

Finally, one may wonder if the second even zonal harmonic J_4 of the geopotential may be of some concern, having some non-negligible impact on the Lense-Thirring test. Actually, by repeating the same reasoning as for J_2, it turns out that the resulting systematic bias $\delta\left(\Delta f^{\rm 3L}_{J_4}\right)/\Delta f^{\rm 3L}_{\rm LT}$ is below the percent level over decadal time spans.

3 Summary and conclusions

Although the satellite's semimajor axis a is not directly affected by the gravitomagnetic field of a rotating primary-which, instead, chenges the orbital node Ω-its secular variation $\dot{a}$ has an indirect impact on the latter one through the huge classical precession $\dot{\Omega}_{J_2}$ caused by the primary's oblateness J_2. As such, it must be included in the sources of systematic biases of the overall error budget of the ongoing space-based experiment aimed to measure the Lense-Thirring effect in the Earth's gravitational field by means of a linear combination of the nodes of LAGEOS, LAGEOS II, LARES.



Fig. 1. Plot of eq. (20) as a function of the time span T for different values of $\sigma_{\dot{a}_{\rm LR}}$. The errors $\sigma_{\dot{a}_{\rm L}}$, $\sigma_{\dot{a}_{\rm LII}}$ for LAGEOS and LAGEOS II were kept fixed to the values quoted in Table 2. In calculating eq. (20), the exact expressions for $\delta\left(\Delta\Omega_{J_2}\right)$ of eq. (14) with eq. (15) and $\Delta\Omega_{\rm LT}$ of eq. (11) were assumed, i.e. no a priori simplifying assumptions on λ were considered.

Extended analyses of SLR observations have recently proven that, at present, the semimajor axes of such spacecrafts secularly decay at rates of the order of about $0.2-0.7$ m yr^{-1}, determined to a $\approx 0.01-0.1$ m yr^{-1} accuracy level. As a consequence, the resulting residual node precessions due to J_2 induce a systematic uncertainty in the general relativistic signatures which grows linearly in time, reaching a size comparable to, or even larger than, the Lense-Thirring effect itself.

It must be stressed that our analysis is not based on the modeling of some possible known or exotic physical mechanism causing the aforementioned orbital decay. Instead, it relies upon phenomenological determinations of $\dot{a}$ from actual data reductions performed independently by other teams of SLR analysts, not involved in the relativity experiment. In other words, we have used only measured quantities, not calculated ones on the basis of some model.

Furthermore, it would be incorrect to claim that, since the geopotential is actually modeled in the data reduction softwares commonly used, one should, instead, consider only the mixed effect of the errors in either $\dot{a}$ and J_2. Indeed, as elementary error theory shows, when some quantity $g\left(q_i\right)$ depends on

several parameters $q_i,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots N$ affected by experimental/observational errors $\sigma_{q_i},\ i = 1, 2, \ldots N$, the upper limit of the uncertainty in it is calculated by taking the sum of the absolute values of the derivatives with respect to each of the parameters scaled by the errors in them, i.e. $\delta g \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\partial_{q_i} g|\, \sigma_{q_i}$. Additional mixed terms proportional to $\sigma_{q_i}\sigma_{q_j}$ can occur when correlations among the various parameters are present; it is not the case here since both $\dot{a}$ and J_2 are determined in a completely independent way from different data sets of different satellites.

It is also useful to remind that one should not confuse the statistical error arising from data analysis with the assessment of the overall systematic uncertainty, which is based on independent sensitivity analyses recurring also to several sources of information. As such, it would be incorrect to argue that a proposed source of systematic bias cannot exist just because the empirical time series apparently does not show it. Suffice it to recall the case of the earlier tests involving the nodes of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II and the perigee of LAGEOS II, when it was later shown (Ries et al., 2003; Iorio, 2003) that the overall systematic uncertainty was likely as large as $50-100\%$, if not larger, of the expected Lense-Thirring signal despite the fact that such huge biases were absent from the time series of the combined residuals of the orbital elements usually showed, which was characterized by a relatively small statistical error (see, e.g., Section 3.1 of Renzetti (2013) and references therein).

In conclusion, we have identified a further, non-negligible component of the total error budget in the LAGEOS-LARES relativity experiment whose magnitude is, at present, comparable with the sought Lense-Thirring signal itself. Thus, the statistical data analysis should take into account of it, and the data reduction algorithms must be properly updated. Otherwise, an improvement of one-two orders of magnitude in the measurement of the orbital secular decay of the satellites involved would be needed to reduce it to a percent level.

Acknowledgements

I gratefully thank four anonymous reviewers and the Associate Editor whose patience, constructive suggestions and criticisms remarkably contributed to improve the manuscript.

References

Ciufolini, I., Paolozzi, A., Koenig, R., Pavlis, E. C., Ries, J., Matzner, R., Gurzadyan, V., Penrose, R., Sindoni, G., Paris, C., Oct. 2013. Fundamental

Physics and General Relativity with the LARES and LAGEOS satellites. Nuclear Physics B Proceedings Supplements 243, 180–193.

Ciufolini, I., Pavlis, E. C., Oct. 2004. A confirmation of the general relativistic prediction of the Lense-Thirring effect. Nature 431, 958–960.

Ciufolini, I., Pavlis, E. C., Paolozzi, A., Ries, J., Koenig, R., Matzner, R., Sindoni, G., Neumayer, K. H., Apr. 2012. Phenomenology of the Lense-Thirring effect in the Solar System: Measurement of frame-dragging with laser ranged satellites. New Astron. 17, 341–346.

Ciufolini, I., Pavlis, E. C., Ries, J., Koenig, R., Sindoni, G., Paolozzi, A., Newmayer, H., 2010. Gravitomagnetism and Its Measurement with Laser Ranging to the LAGEOS Satellites and GRACE Earth Gravity Models. In: Ciufolini, I., Matzner, R. A. A. (Eds.), Astrophysics and Space Science Library. Vol. 367 of Astrophysics and Space Science Library. p. 371.

Everitt, C. W. F., Debra, D. B., Parkinson, B. W., Turneaure, J. P., Conklin, J. W., Heifetz, M. I., Keiser, G. M., Silbergleit, A. S., Holmes, T., Kolodziejczak, J., Al-Meshari, M., Mester, J. C., Muhlfelder, B., Solomonik, V. G., Stahl, K., Worden, Jr., P. W., Bencze, W., Buchman, S., Clarke, B., Al-Jadaan, A., Al-Jibreen, H., Li, J., Lipa, J. A., Lockhart, J. M., Al-Suwaidan, B., Taber, M., Wang, S., Jun. 2011. Gravity Probe B: Final Results of a Space Experiment to Test General Relativity. Physical Review Letters 106 (22), 221101.

Iorio, L., Jul. 2003. The Impact of the Static Part of the Earth's Gravity Field on Some Tests of General Relativity with Satellite Laser Ranging. Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy 86, 277–294.

Iorio, L., Lichtenegger, H. I. M., Ruggiero, M. L., Corda, C., Feb. 2011. Phenomenology of the Lense-Thirring effect in the solar system. Astrophys. Space Sci. 331, 351–395.

Iorio, L., Ruggiero, M. L., Corda, C., Oct. 2013. Novel considerations about the error budget of the LAGEOS-based tests of frame-dragging with GRACE geopotential models. Acta Astronautica 91, 141–148.

Lense, J., Thirring, H., 1918. Über den Einfluß der Eigenrotation der Zentralkörper auf die Bewegung der Planeten und Monde nach der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie. Physikalische Zeitschrift 19, 156–163.

Lucchesi, D. M., Anselmo, L., Bassan, M., Pardini, C., Peron, R., Pucacco, G., Visco, M., Aug. 2015. Testing the gravitational interaction in the field of the Earth via satellite laser ranging and the Laser Ranged Satellites Experiment (LARASE). Classical Quant. Grav. 32 (15), 155012.

Paolozzi, A., Ciufolini, I., Oct. 2013. LARES successfully launched in orbit: Satellite and mission description. Acta Astronautica 91, 313–321.

Petit, G., Luzum, B., et al., 2010. IERS Conventions (2010). IERS Technical Note 36, 1.

Renzetti, G., May 2013. History of the attempts to measure orbital frame-dragging with artificial satellites. Open Physics 11, 531–544.

Renzetti, G., May 2015. On Monte Carlo simulations of the LAser RElativity Satellite experiment. Acta Astronautica 113, 164–168.

Ries, J., Eanes, R., Tapley, B., 2003. Lense-Thirring Precession Determination from Laser Ranging to Artificial Satellites. In: Ruffini, R., Sigismondi, C. (Eds.), Nonlinear Gravitodynamics. The Lense-Thirring Effect. World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 201–211.

Rubincam, D. P., Apr. 1982. On the secular decrease in the semimajor axis of Lageos's orbit. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr. 26, 361–382.

Rubincam, D. P., Feb. 1987. LAGEOS orbit decay due to infrared radiation from earth. J. Geophys. Res. 92, 1287–1294.

Rubincam, D. P., Nov. 1988. Yarkovsky thermal drag on LAGEOS. J. Geophys. Res. 93, 13805–13810.

Scharroo, R., Wakker, K. F., Ambrosius, B. A. C., Noomen, R., Jan. 1991. On the along-track acceleration of the Lageos satellite. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 729–740.

Sośnica, K., 2014. Thermal Effects. In: Determination of Precise Satellite Orbits and Geodetic Parameters using Satellite Laser Ranging . ETH Zürich, Swiss Geodetic Commission, pp. 94–95.

Sośnica, K., Baumann, C., Thaller, D., Jäggi, A., Dach, R., 2014. Combined LARES-LAGEOS Solutions. In: Proceedings of the 18th International Workshop on Laser Ranging, Fujiyoshida, Japan. pp. 13–Po–57.

Xu, G., 2008. Orbits. Springer, Berlin.